1. Notice of the Crustace. collected by Prof. C. F. IIartt on tile coast of Brazil in 1867. By Sidney I. Smith.

Read, May 19th, 1869.

In the first volume of these Transactions, Prof. Verrill has noticed the Radiata of the collection made by Prof. Hartt upon the coast of Brazil during the summer of 1867 , and the Crustacea of the same collection, having been submitted to me for examination, was found to contain so many species new to the Brazilian fauna that the publication of the following list seemed desirable.

The collection, althongh quite small in number of specimens and representing only the higher groups of the class, is interesting from the large proportion which it contains of species heretofore known only from the West Indies or Flordia. This is, perhaps, due chiefly to the fact that most of the collections brought from Brazil have been made at Rio de Janeiro where there are no coral reefs, while Prof. Hartt's collcetion was made principally on the rocky and reef-bearing parts of the coast.

## BRACHYURA.

Milnia bicornuta Stimpson.
Pisa bicornuta Latreille, Encyclopédie méthodique, tome x, p. 141 (teste Edwards).
Pericera bicorna Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crustacés, tome i, p. 337, 1834.
Pisa bicorna Gibbes, On the Carcinological Collections of the United States, Proceedings American Association, 3d Meeting, p. 170, 1850.
Pericera bicornis Saussure, Crustacés nouveaux des Antilles et du Mexique, p. 12, pl. 1, fig. 3, 1858.
Minia bicornuta Stimpson, Notes on North American Crustacea, Annals Lyceum Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 180, 1860.
A single specimen collected at the Reefs of the Abrolhos does not differ from Bermuda, Florida and Aspinwall specimens.

## Mithraculus coronatus Stimpson.

Cancer coronatus Herbst, Naturgeschichte der Krabben und Krebse, Band i, p. 184, Tab. 11, fig. 63, 1782, and Cancer Coryphe, Band iii, zweytes Heft, p. 8, 1801.
Mithraculus coronatus (pars) White?, List of Crust. in the British Museum, p. 7, 1847.
Trans. Connecticut Acad., Vol. II.

Mithraculus coronatus Stimpson, American Journal Sci., 2d series, vol. xxix, 1860, p. 132 ; Annals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 186, 1860.

Two females of this species were collected by Prof. Hartt at the Reefs of the Abrolhos. They do not differ perceptibly from Aspinwall specimens.
The two specimens give the following measurement:-
Length of carapax, 12.8 mm Breadth of carapax, 17.6 mm Ratio, 1: 1.37
" " " $17 \cdot 2$ " 2 " " $23 \cdot 4$ " $1: 1 \cdot 36$
The differences pointed out by Stimpson at once distinguish this species from M. sculptus, but White cites the figures of both species under his Mithraculus coronatus, so that it is not possible, withont an examination of his specinens, to tell which species he had in view.

Mithrax hispidus Edwards.
Cancer hispidus Herbst, op. cit., Band i, p. 247, Tab. 18, fig. 100, 1782.
Mithrax hispidus Edwards, Magasin de Zoölogie, $2^{e}$ année, 1832 ; Historie naturelle des Crust., tome i, p. 322, 1834; DeKay, Zoölogy of New York, Crust., p. 4, 1844; Gibbes, loc. cit., p. 172 ; Stimpson, American Journal Sci., 2 d series, vol. xxix, 1860, p. 132 ; Annals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 189, 1860.

Several specimens collected at the Reefs of the Abrolhos agree well with Edwards' and Stimpson's descriptions of this species. The carapax is wholly naked above, the elevations anteriorly are smooth and polished, and there are no spines or prominent tubercles on the median regions. There are two small tubercles just at the base of the frontal teeth, and two more just behind these on the anterior lobes of the gastric region; there are also traces of two tubercles on each of the antero-lateral gastric lobes, and several small tuberculiform elevations on the hepatic and branchial regions near the antero-lateral margin. The external angle of the orbit forms an obtuse tooth not projecting so far forward as the external lobe of the inferior margin ; the succeeding tooth of the antero-lateral margin (the second normal) is quite small and obtuse, but the three remaining teeth are spiniform, slender and curved forward; in addition, there is a very small tooth just behind the posterior spine of the antero-lateral margin.

Several specimens give the following measurements:-

| Sex. | Length of carapax. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Male. | $15 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| " | $18 \cdot 9$ |
| Female. | $13 \cdot 4$ |
| 4 | $15 \cdot 4$ |


| Breadth of carapax <br> including spines. | Ratio. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $18 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $1: 1 \cdot 16$ |
| $22 \cdot 7$ | $1: 1 \cdot 20$ |
| $15 \cdot 4$ | $1: 1 \cdot 15$ |
| $18 \cdot 0$ | $1: 1 \cdot 17$ |

Xantho denticulata White.
Xintho denticulata White, List of Crust. in the British Museum, p. 17 (no descrip. tion), 1847; Annals and Mag. Nat. Hist., 2d series, vol. ii, p. 285 ( $X$. denticulatus), 1848 (non Stimpson); Smith, Proc. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., vol. xii, p. 274, 1869.

A single specimen collected at the Reefs of the Abrolhos does not differ from specimens from Bermuda and Aspinwall.

It seemes to be an uncommon species as it is not mentioned by Dana, Gibbes, or Stimpson, and I have only seen a single one from each of the localities mentioned.

Chlorodius Floridanus Gibbes.
Chlorodius Floridanus Gibbes, loc. cit., p. 175, 1850; Stimpson, Annals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 209.
Several specimens, not differing perceptibly from those from Florida and Aspinwall, were collected at the Reefs of the Abrolhos.

Three specimens give the following measurements :-

| Sex. | Length of carapax. | Breadth of carapax. | Ratio. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male. | 20.8 mm | 33.8 mm | $1: 1.62$ |
| Female. | 15.6 | 23.8 | $1: 1.53$ |
| " | 18.4 | 29.4 | $1: 1.60$ |

Panopeus politus Smith, loc. cit., p. 282, 1869.
Plate I, figure 4.
This species is allied to $P$. transversus Stimpson, and resembles somewhat the crenatus of Edwards and Lucas.

The carapax is entirely naked above, broad, moderately convex in two directions, slightly granulons and meven on the front and along the antero-lateral border, but smooth and highly polished on the median regions and posteriorly. The regions are slightly but distinctly indi. cated. The gastric region is surrounded by a well marked sulcus, but its lobes are not distinctly indicated except the anterior extremity of the median, which is slender and acutely pointed; the frontal lobes are indicated by slight prominences. The hepatic region is not divided, but there are one or two slight plications on its anterior part parallel to the anterolateral margin. The cervical suture is distinct in its outer portion but is not indicated near the gastric region. The median and posterior lobes of the branchial region are separated by a distinct depression. The front is strongly deflexed, the edge somewhat beveled from above and four-lobed; the median lobes are very broad, project prominently and are scparated by a sharp notch; the lateral lobes project as small narrow teeth. The antero-lateral margin is di-
vided by small notches into four lobes, the first of which is composed of the angle of the orbit coalesced with the second normal tooth; the first lobe is broad, its erlge slightly concare and projecting a little at the angle of the orbit; the second and third lobes are broad and truncate; the fourth lobe is small and obtuse and forms the lateral angle of the carapax. From each of the notehes slight sulci extend a little way back upon the carapax.

Beneath, the edge of the front is thin, projects obliquely downward and is not expanded in front of the antemulx. The epistome is smooth, and its labial border has a prominent median lobe and a slight incision each side. The external maxillipeds are smooth; the merus is quadrilateral, its onter edge not projecting, and the antero-exterior angle rounded. The inferior margin of the orbit is divided into two lobes by a broad and shallow sinus; the inmer lobe forming a prominent tooth which projects as far forward as the latera? lobe of the front, and the outer lobe broad and slightly prominent. The external hiatus of the orbit is rather broad and shallow. The sub-orbital and sub-hepatic regions are quite granulous. The tuberele bencath the anterior lobe of the antero-lateral margin is depressed, forming only a slight gramlous prominence. The sub-branchial region is somewhat hairy. The female abdomen is broadly orate, the greatest breadth being at the fourth segment.

The chelipeds are slightly unequal, the carpi and hands smooth and evenly rounded above and on the outside. The hands are stout, the fingers obscurely marked with longitudinal impressed lines, and irregularly toothed within, and in the dactylus of the larger hand there is a prominent cylindrical tooth at the base. The ambulatory legs are smooth and nearly naked except a close pubescence upon the dactyli, penultimate segments, and slightly on the carpi.

In an alcoholic specimen the color is light brown above, tinged with bluish purple on the anterior part of the earapax and the upper side of the chelipeds. The fingers are black, lighter at the tips, and the black not spreading upon the palm.

Length of carapax in the single female specimen, 13.8 mm ; breadth, $21 \cdot 4$ : ratio of length to breadth, $1: 1 \% 5$.

Collected at the Reefs of the Ibrolhos.
The P. transversus Stimpson (Annals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 210, 1860) of the west coast of Central America, differs from this species in having the carapax much less distinctly areolated, more regularly oval in outline and smoother and more evenly convex above. The front also projects much less prominently; the antero lat-
eral margin is smooth and even and the lobes separated by very slight incisions, and the edge of the first lobe is slightly convex and does not project at the angle of the orbit ; there is no noticeable depression between the median and posterior lobes of the branchial region; the inferior margin of the orbit is divided by a very slight sinus, and the imer lobe is not at all prominent; and finally, the external maxillipeds are slightly granulated. The color of alcoholic specimens is quite different, being dark slate-brown on the upper side of the carapax and chelipeds.

The $P$. crenutus of Edwards and Lucas is a much smoother species than the politus, the regions being scarcely at all defined and the carapax almost perfectly smooth along the front and antero-lateral border. The front is not deflexed, its edge is nearly straight, and beneath it is expanded horizontally in front of the antemnlie ; the sub-orbital and sub-hepatic regions are quite smooth, and there is no tubercle beneath the first lobe of the antero-lateral margin; and finally, the an-tero-exterior angle of the merus of the extermal maxillipeds projects laterally somewhat beyond the lateral margin and is broadly rounded.*

Panopeus Harttii Smith, loc. eit., p. 280, 1869.

## Plate I, figure 5.

The carapax is clothed with seattered hairs along the borders, is broadest at the penultimate teeth of the antero-lateral margins, con vex anteriorly but fiattened behind, and coarsely gramulous on the front and along the lateral borders, but nearly smooth on the median and posterior regions. The gastric region is surrounded by a very deep sulcus, which is particularly marked posteriorly next the cardiac and the posterior part of the branchial region ; its median lobe is separated from the antero-lateral lobes by a slight but distinct sulcus; and the anterior lobes are prominent and marked anteriorly by a sharp plication. The hepatic region is prominent, somewhat projecting and bears a transverse, granulons ridge. The cervical suture is very marked and extends as a broad depression quite to the gastric region. The median and posterior lobes of the branchial region are separated by a slight depression. The front is very much deflexed and the edge

[^0]thin and four lobed; the median lobes are very much the largest, are evenly rounded, and a little more prominent than the lateral, which project as small obtusely triangular teeth. The superior margin of the orbit is broken by two incisions leaving the margin between them projecting as a slight, rounded lobe. The post-orbital tooth is short and slender, and is separated from the second tooth of the anterolateral margin by a broad sinus which breaks the margin completely. The remaining teeth of the antero-lateral margin are triangular in form, moch thickened vertically, and separated by quite broad sinnses, and the posterior two on each side are very slender and of nearly equal prominence.

Beneath, the edge of the front is thin and projects sharply downward. 'The epistome is smooth and its labial border has a small lobe in the middle, a slight notch each side and another at each angle of the buccal area. The external maxillipeds are smooth except the merus, which is slightly granulated and also has the antero-exterior angle very slightly produced laterally and not at all romeded. The inferior margin of the orbit is prominent and divided into two lobes by a deep and narrow sinus; the inner lobe forms a stont tooth which projects as far forward as the inner angle of the superior margin; the outer lobe is broad and its exterior angle projects slightly in advance of the post-orbital tooth. The exterual hiatus of the orbit is a deep triangular notch. In one specimen, however, it is wholly closed on one side, possibly from some accident. The sub-orbital and sul-hepatic regions are quite coarsely grauulous. The tubercle of the sub-hepatic region forms a slight granulons prominence just bencath the post-orbital tooth. The sulb-branchial region is pubescent and slightly granulous. In the male, the sternum is smooth and the abdomen quite narrow, being narrowest at the penultimate segment, and the terminal segment is about five-sixths as long as broad, and its extremity evenly rounded. In the female the abdomen is broadly orate, the greatest breadth being at the fourth segment.

The chelipeds are a little unequal. The carpi are granular-mgose externally and have a deep groove along the onter margin next the artienlation with the hand. The hands are slightly rugose above, and the fingers are slender, deflexed, marked with slight, impressed longitndinal lines and slightly and olstusely toothed within, and the dactylus in the larger hand usually has a stont tooth at the base. The ambulatory legs are slender, and pubescent along the edges of all the segments and over the whole surface of the dactyli.

Alcoholic specimens are light olive brown above and on the chelipeds. The fingers are black, lighter at the tips, and the black not spreading upon the palm.

Several specimens give the following measurements :

| Sex. | Length of carapax. | Breadth of carapax. | Ratio. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male. | 15.0 mm | 22.5 mm | $1: 1.50$ |
| " | 15.9 | 23.6 | $1: 1.49$ |
| Female. | 9.6 | 14.4 | $1: 1.50$ |
| $"$ | 12.6 | 18.8 | $1: 1.49$ |

Seven specimens were collected by Prof. Hartt at the Reefs of the Abrolhos.

This species is very distinct from all other described species of the genns. Its broad and deeply areolated carapax give it somewhat the aspect of a Chlorodius.

## Eriphia gonagra Edwards.

Cancer gonagra Fabricins, Supplementum Entomologiæ systematicæ, p. 337, 1798.
Eriphia gonagra Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome i, p. 426, pl. 16, fig. 16, 17, 1834; Annales des Sciences naturelles, $3^{\text {me }}$ séric, tome xvi, 1851, pl. 8, fig. 10 ; White, List of Crust. in the British Museum, p. 22 ; Gibbes, loc. cit., p. 177 ; Dana, United States Exploring Expedition, Crust., p. 250; Stimpson, Annals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 217 ; Heller, Reise der österreichischen Fregatte Novara um die Erde, p. 24, 1865.

A large number of specimens are in the collection, all of them obtained at the Reefs of the Abrolhos. It seems to be a common species from sonthern Florida to Rio de Janciro.

A number of specimens give the following measurements:

| Sex. Malc. | Length of carapax. $17 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Breadth of carapax including spines. 24.8 mm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fatio. } \\ & 1: 1.44 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | 24.0 | 34.5 | 1: 1.44 |
| " | $25 \cdot 6$ | $36 \cdot 8$ | 1: $1 \cdot 44$ |
| " | $26 \cdot 8$ | $37 \cdot 8$ | 1: 1-41 |
| " | $30 \cdot 8$ | $43 \cdot 5$ | 1: 1.41 |
| Female. | $17 \cdot 6$ | $25 \cdot 7$ | 1: 1-46 |
| " | $19 \cdot 6$ | $28 \cdot 2$ | 1: $1 \cdot 44$ |
| " | $23 \cdot 0$ | $33 \cdot 2$ | 1: 1.44 |
| " | $28 \cdot 2$ | $41 \cdot 3$ | 1:1.46 |

Callinectes Danæ Smith.
Lupa diacantha Dana, United States Exploring Expedition, Crust., p. 272, pl. 16, fig. 7, 1852.
Callinectes diacanthus Ordway, Monograph of the genus Callinectes, Boston Journal Nat. Hist., vol. vii, p. 575, 1863. (Non Portunus diacanthus Latreille, nec Lupa diacantha Edwards, nec Callinectes diacanthus Stimpson.)

A number of specimens which agree perfectly with the description of this species given by Ordway, were collected at Pernambuco by Prof. Hartt.

A single female from Bahia does not differ from the Pernambinco specimens except in having the sub-median tooth of the front very short, scarcely projecting beyond the median teeth-probably an accidental character.

Several specimens give the following measurements:-

| Pernambuco. | Sex. <br> Male. | Lencth of carapax including sub-frontal spine. $41 \cdot 9 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Breadth of carapax including lateral spine. $93 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio. } \\ & 1: 2 \cdot 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | " | $44 \cdot 3$ | $97 \cdot 4$ | 1:2 20 |
|  | " | $47 \cdot 2$ | 106.5 | 1:2.26 |
| " | Female. | . 41.8 | $91 \cdot 0$ | 1:2.17 |
| ، | " | $44 \cdot 8$ | $94 \cdot 8$ | 1:2.12 |
| Bahia, | " | $34 \cdot 4$ | 76.0 | 1: $2 \cdot 21$ |

This species was known to Ordway only from Dana's original specimen collected at Rio de Janeiro.

Callinectes ornatus Ordway, loc. cit., p. 571, 1863.
A male specimen collected at Caravellas agrees perfectly with Ordway's description and with a specimen from Bermuda.

Length of carapax including sub-frontal spine, $36 \cdot 2^{\mathrm{mm}}$; breadth of carapax inchding lateral spines, $80.5^{\mathrm{mm}}$; ratio of length to breadth, 1: 2•22.

A sterile female collected at the same locality may belong to this species. It differs from the male in being thicker and more convex, the areolation more strongly marked, and the granulations coarser ; the teeth of the antero-lateral border are less prominent and more obtuse; and the chelipeds are cinite short, the merus not reaching, by considerable, the tip of the lateral spine.

Leng'th of carapax, $34 \cdot 6^{\mathrm{mm}}$; breadth of carapax, $75 \cdot 0$; ratio $1: 2 \cdot 14$.
In the deeply areolated carapax it approaches the larvatus, and it may possibly belong to that species.

The description and figure of Neptumes marginatus A. Edwards* agrees very closely with this specimen, the figure of the abdomen and sternum representing it perfectly, and there can be little doubt that Edwards' species was based on a sterile female of some species of Callinectes. If the habitat, Cóte clu Gabon, given by Edwards be correct, it is safely inferred that the genus Cullinectes is not confined to the American coasts.

* Archives du Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, tome x, p. 318, pl. 30, fig. 2, 1861.

The C. ornatus was previously known from South Carolina, Tortugas, Hayti, and Cumana.

Callinectes larvatus Ordway, loc. eit., p. 573, 1863.
One specimen of this species, a male, was collected at Bahia. It is very much like the Dance and the ormatus in the earapax, ete., but differs remarkably in the male abdominal appendages of the first pair (intromittent organs), which are very short, directed inward till they cross and then the extremities curved abruptly ontward.

Length of earapax including sub-frontal spine, $38 \cdot 8^{\mathrm{mm}}$; breadth including lateral spines, $8 \cdot 2 \cdot 4^{m m 2}$; ratio of length to breadth, $1: 2 \cdot 11$.

Ordway's specimens were from Florida, Bahama, and Ilayti.

## Achelous spinimanus DeHaan.

Portunus spinimanus Latreille, Eneye., t. x, p. 188 (teste Edwards).
Lupa spinimana Leach, Desmarest, Considérations générales sur la classe des Crust., p. 98,1825 ; Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome i, p. 452,1834 ; Gibbes, loc. cit., p. 178 ; Dana, United States lixploring Eexpedition, Crnst., p. 273 ; Stimpson, Amnals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 57.
Achelous spinimanus, DeHaan, Fauna Japonica, p. S, 1833; White, List of Crust. in the British Museum, p. 28, 1847 ; Stimpson, Annals Lye. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 221, 1860; A. Edwards, Archives du Muséum d’Histoire naturelle, tome x, p. 341, pl. 32, fig. 1, 1861 ; Heller, op. cit., p. 27.
'ilhree specimens, all females, collected at Bahia, give the following measurements :-
Length of carapax
incluaing frontal teeth.
$37 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~mm}$
$44 \cdot 4$
$56 \cdot 0$

Breadth of carapax
including lateral spines 61.5 mm
$77 \cdot 4$
$95 \cdot 0$

Ratio of length to breadth.

1: ].66
1:1•74
1:1•70

All the specimens have the lateral spine of the carapax nearly or quite twice as long as the one next in front of it. They appear to differ in no way from specimens from Florida.

Achelous Ordwayi Stimpson.
Achelous Ordwayi Stimpson, Annals Lye. Nat. Hist., New Fork, vol. vii, 1. 242, 1860. Teptunus Ordwayi A. Edwards, op. cit., addenda, 186i.

A male specimen of this fine species was collected, with the last, at Bahia.

The carapax is narrower than in A. spinimanus, and the front more advanced. In areolation it resembles the spinimumus very much, the elevations homever are not quite so thickly granulated. The tecth of the
front are very long and slender, the length of the median ones exceeding slightly the distance between their tips. The teeth of the anterolateral margin are much longer and slenderer than in spinimanus, the posterior one (lateral spine) being but slightly longer, in proportion to the other teeth, than in that species. The chelipeds are slender and fully as long as in spimimanus. The ambulatory legs are long and very slender, those of the first two pairs extending nearly to the middle of the dactyli of the chelipeds.

The sternum is convex in an antero-posterior direction, while in the spinimanus it is quite flat. In the male the terminal portion of the abdomen is narrowly triangular, the penultimate segment being quite narrow and its lateral margins straight or very slightly concave, while in the spinimams it is broad and the lateral margins of the penultimate segment quite convex.

The male abdominal appendages of the first pair are very different in the two species. In both they are stout and separated by quite a broad space. In the spinimams they reach beyond the middle of the penultimate segment of the abdomen, the thick basal portion curving strongly inward from the base, the slenderer portion at first directed nearly straight forward, then curved strongly outward, and the tips inward again. In the Ordwayi they are much shorter, reaching but slightly beyond the antipenultimate segment of the abdomen, and have but a single curve, curving inward from the base, then outward to the tip.

Length of carapax in the single specimen, $37 \cdot 0^{\mathrm{mIn}}$; breadth of carapax, $61 \cdot 8^{\text {min }}$; ratio of length to breadth, $1: 1.67$; breadth excluding lateral spines, $48 \cdot 0^{\mathrm{mm}}$; ratio of length to this breadth, $1: 1.29$; greatest length of merus segments of chelipeds, $31 \cdot 0^{\mathrm{mm}}$; length of hand, right, $47 \cdot 2$, left, $47 \cdot 0^{\mathrm{mm}}$. A male specimen of $A$. spinimanus from Florida gives the following :-length of carapax, 40.4 mm ; breadth of carapax, 69.5 mm : ratio of length to breadth, $1: 1.72$; breadth excluding spines, $58: 5^{\mathrm{mm}}$; ratio of length to this breadth, $1: 1 \cdot 44$.

This species differs from the figure of Neptumus cruentatus. (A. Edwards, op. cit., p. 326, pl. 31, fig. 2) in having much longer chelipeds, the merus projecting much farther beyond the sides of the carapax, and the hands when folded in front lapping by each other considerably. The teeth of the front and of the antero-lateral margin are very much more slender and prominent than in his figure. And in the description of the cruentatus no mention is made of the smooth and highly iridescent spaces on the supero-exterior surface of the hand, which is
mentioned by Stimpson in his deseription of A. Ordwayi, and is a very conspicuous character in the species.

I have retained this species in the genus Achelous of DeHaan instead of Neptunus of the same anthor, becanse the narrow carapax, prominent front, and the form of the external maxillipeds and of the male abdomen ally it very closely to the spinimamus, and, together with the narrow dactyli of the first three pairs of ambulatory legs, separate it widely from Neptunus pelagicus, the type of the genus Neptumes.

The length of the lateral spine of the carapax, which appears to have been A. Nilne Edwards' principal character for separating these genera, seems to be of slight importance, and in the present case, if used alone, is scarcely sufficient for a specific distinction.

Stimpson's speeimens of A. Ordwayi were from Florida and St. Thomas.

## Goniopsis cruentatus DeHaan.

Cancer ruricola DeGeer, Mémoires pour servir á l'histoire des Insectes, tome vii, p. 417, pl. 25, 1778 (non Cancer ruricola Linné).
Grapsus cruentatus Latreille, Histoire des Crnst. et Insects, tome vi, p. 70, 1803; Desmarest, op. cit., p. 132 ; Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 85 ; Gibbes, loc. cit., p. 181.
Goniopsis cruentatus DeHaan, op. cit., p. 33, 1835; Edwards, Annales des Sciences naturelles, $3^{\text {me }}$ série, tome xx, 1853, p. 164, pl. 7, fig. 2; Stimpson, Proceedings Acad. Nat. Sci., Philadeiphia, 1858, p. 101 ; Heller, op. cit., p. 43.
Grapsus longipes Randall, Journal Acad. Nat. Sci., Philad., vol. viii, p. 125, 1839.
Goniopsis ruricola White, List of Crust. in the British Museum, p. 40, 1847 ; Saussure, op. cit., p. 30, pl. 2, fig. 18, 1858.
Goniograpsus cruentatus Dana, American Journal Sci., 2d series, vol. xii, p. 285, 1851 ; United States Exploring Expedition, Crust., p. 342, pl. 21, fig. 7, 1852.
A single male of this beantiful speeies was collected at the Reefs of the Abrolhos.

Cryptograpsus cirripes, sp. nov.
Plate I, figure 3.
The carapax above is granulons and naked The front as seen from above is nearly straight with only a slight median immargination. The orbits are broad, the margin slightly upturned and broken by a broad noteh near the inner angle. The outer orbital teeth are long, acutely pointed, project straight forward, and the distance between their tips is nearly equal to two-thirds the breadth of the carapax. The suceceding teeth of the antero-lateral margin are prominent and acutely pointed, the third tooth much smaller than the others, and the
fourth or last tooth with a slender spiniform tip directed forward and upward and with a sharp granulated ridge extending from its base inward upon the branchial region and nearly parallel to the posterolateral margin. The arcolation is well pronounced and agrees in the main with C. angulutu: Dana. In the depression on each side just in front of the anterior lobes of the branchial region there is a transverse line of three obscure, oral, smooth spots. From the small tooth in the postero-lateral margin, a short ridge extends backward just above and parallel to the margin as far as the lateral angle of the carapax.

The chelipeds are stout and equal. The merns is triangular and the angles granulous. The carpus, and the hand nearly to the tips of the fingers, are sharply granulons. The fingers are slender and their inner edges nearly straight and armed with regnlar rounded tuberenliform teeth.

In the ambulatory legs the meral segments are gramulous above and on the angles. The dactyli of the first three pairs are naked except a few hairs on the posterior edge at the base, slender, somewhat curved, smooth and deeply sulcate; those of the posterior pair are shorter, compressed, and their edges thickly clothed with soft hairs. In the first pair of legs the posterior edge of the propodus is clothed nearly its whole length with a brush of sof hair; in the second pair there is a similar brush but only on the terminal half; in the third pair it is wholly wating, or represented only by a few hairs near the articulation with the dactylas. In the posterior pair of legs the edges of the dactylus, propodus and earjus are densely clothed with soft hair.

The male sternm is concave in a lateral direction, and the articulations between the segments of the abdomen are nearly straight instead of curved as in C. angulatus.

Length of carapax in a male, $31 \cdot 0^{\mathrm{mm}}$; breadth of carapax, $35 \cdot 6^{\mathrm{mm}}$; ratio of length to breath, $1: 1 \cdot 15$. Breadth between onter orbital tecth, $.24 \cdot 8^{\mathrm{nm}}$; ratio of this breath to breath of carapax between lateral teeth, $1: 1 \cdot 43$.

This species was not olftained by Prof. IIartt. The only specimens which I have seen are two males, in the collection of the Peabody Academy of Science, Salem, Mass., brought from lio de Janeiro by Capt. Harrington.

The $C$. cirripes differs from $C$. angulatus Dana (United States Exploring Expedition, Crust., p. 352, pl. 22, fig. 6), fiom Rio Negro, Northern Patagonia, and heretofore the only known species of the
genus, in having the front as seen from above nearly straight instead of deeply lilobed, in the much greater breadth of the carapax bet ween the outer orbital teeth-the ratio of this breadth to the breadth of the carapax between the lateral teeth being in $C$. anyulatus, $1: 68$,and in the ciliated posterior legs.

## Uca cordata.

Cancer cordatus Linné, Amœnitates Academice, tome vi, p. 414, 1763 ; Systema Nature, editio xii, tome i, p. 1039 ; Herbst, op. eit., Band i, p. 131, Tab. 6, fig. 38. Cancer uca Linné?, Systema Naturæ, editio xii, tome i, p. 1041.
Uca loevis? Dana?, United States Exploring Expedition, Crust., p. 375.
(Non Uca una Guérin, Ieonographie du Règne animal, Crust., pl. 5, fig. 3, nec Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 22, et Règne animal de Cuvier, $3^{\text {me édit., pl. 19, fig. 1.) }}$

A single specimen of this species was obtained by Prof. Hurtt at Bahia. There are also specimens from Pará in the collection of the Peabody Academy. All the specimens examined were males.

The carapax is entirely naked and perfectly smooth above, very broad, the greatest breadth being much anterior to the middle, and very convex in an antero-posterior direction. The cervical suture is very distinctly indieated, especially in the middle of the carapax, where there is a broad depression on each side at the antero-lateral angle of the cardiae region. The gastric region is broad and flattened in the middle, the antero-lateral lobes are only indistinctly separated from the median, and the posterior portion is rounded and slightly protuberant but is still lower than the branchial region. The cardiac region is very large, scarcely divided, and the posterior portion extends far back between the bases of the posterior pair of legs. The branchial regions are swollen, evenly rounded above and wholly undivided, and the lateral margins are very convex in the anterior portion and are indieated by a very slight denticulated ridge. The whole front is bordered by a sharply raised margin; the median lobe projects almost perpendicularly downward between the orbits, and its margin is regularly curved. The orbits are very large, and the margin is broken by a broad and deep hiatus on the lower side at the outer extremity, just over which the outer angle of the superior margin projects as a rounded lobe ; the inferior margin is nearly straight and is formed of two nearly parallel ridges, the inferior of whieh is armed with a line of small tubereles, and the superior is irregularly granulous. The inferior obital regions are perfectly smooth and separated from the bnecal area by deep sulci. The inferior lateral regions are swollen and nearly smooth, there being only a few small
and scattered granules on the anterior portion near the inferior orbital region. On each side of the buccal area there is a high ridge which is armed with a few small tubercles.

The external maxillipeds are smooth and naked on the ontside, and the inner edge and the palpus thickly clothed with coarse hairs.

The chelipeds are somewhat unequal and very large. The merus is stout, sharply triangular, both the inferior angles are armed with stont spines and the superior angle is coarsely granulous. The carpus is broad, smooth and evenly rounded on the outside, and spinons along the immer edge and on the anterior edge beneath. The hand is broad, compressed, spinons on the superior margin and on the inside, the inferior margin granulons, and the onter side smooth; the fingers are high and compressed, their tips strongly incurved, and the imer edges slightly separated in the middle and armed with small irregular teeth except at the tips, which are slightly spoon-shaped with the edges horny, continnons and sharp.

The ambulatory legs are smooth and naked above, but all the segments in the first three pairs, except the basal ones, are thickly clothed beneath and on the anterior side with very long coarse hair. Those of the anterior pair are longer than the others, and those of the posterior pair are much shorter than the others and but slightly hairy. The dactyli of the first two pairs are very $\operatorname{long}$ and stout, slightly eurved downward, their extremities compressed vertically and five-sided with the angles sharp; those of the third pair are much shorter and curved backward as well as downward; those of the posterior pair are still shorter, strongly curved backward and sixsided, the superior side being much broader than the others.

The sternum is narow, very conver in an antero-posterior direction, and the depression for the lodgement of the abdomen is broad, very deep, and extends quite to the lase of the maxillipeds. The male abdomen is broadest at the third segment; the second segment is very small, and the two segments which precede it are completely coalesced. The appendages of the first segment are triquetral and very stont and extend to the extremity of the penultimate segment. The appendages of the second segment are very small, extending scarcely beyond the third segment.

Length of carapax, $54.0^{\mathrm{mm}}$; breadth of carapax, $73.4^{\mathrm{mm}}$; ratio, $1: 1 \cdot 36$. Length of merns in right cheliped, $33 \cdot 8^{\mathrm{mm}}$; in left cheliped, $33 \cdot 0$. Length of right hand, $49 \cdot 5$; length of left hand, $49 \cdot 0$.

One of the specimens in the collection of the Peabody Academy of Science has the chelipeds much more mequal than in the specimen described above but agrees with it in all other characters.

There are at least three American species of Uca:-the U. cordata, described above and the $U$. una (the species figured by Guérin and Edwards), from the east coast, and U. lrevis, the species described and figured by Edwards in the Archives du Muséum d'Ilistoire naturelle, tome vii, p. 185, pl. 16, from the west coast.

The synonymy of these species appears to be in much confusion. The Cancer cordatus of Linné is described at length in the Amœnitates Academicæ, and is evidently the species described above and the same as the one figured by Herbst. 'The description of C. uca in the Systema Nature is very short and indefinite and no characters are given by which it could be distinguished fiom the C. cordatus.

Milue Edwards in his Historie naturelle de Crust., 1837, quotes both these species under his Uca una Latreille; he gives "l'Amérique méridionale " as the habitat of $U$. rena, and deseribes a new species, U. levis, from "les Antilles." The slight'descriptions of his lavis here given would not distinguish it from the $U$. cordete. In his review of the Ocypodoidea in the Annales des Sciences naturelles, $3^{\text {me }}$ séries, tome $x x, 1853$, these species are again briefly characterized and the same habitas given. In 1854, in the Archives du Musémm, loc. cit., he describes $U$. levis at length and figures it, but says, "Je ne conmais que des individus mâles de cette espéce ; la plupart ont été rapportés des environs de Guayaquil, par M. Eydoux." The description and figure here given apply well to specimens in the Museun of Yale College collected at Guayaquil by Mr. Bradley, and distinguish it readily from the Atlantic species. To add to the confusion, Lucas in D'Orbigny's Voyage dans l'Amérique méridionale, Crust., p. 23, 1843, gives, without description, " Cea una Latr." as coming from "Environs de Guayaquil: M. Eydonx." evidently having the same specimens before him that Edwards has described and figured in the Arehives du Muséum! If Edwards' original specimens of leeris were from the West Indies as stated, they are probably the $U^{\text {r }}$. cordata, but, even if this be the case, since the east coast species is evidently the Cancer cordatus of Limne, the name lavis may be retained for the west coast species to which Edwards's last and fullest description and lis figure apply.

White, in the list of Crustacea in the British Museum, ]. 31, 1847, has "Uca cordata" from the West Indies and Brazil, but quotes as synonyms, Cancer uea and C. cordatus of Linné, C. corclatus of IIerbst, and Uca una of Guérin and Edwards, evidently confounding the two Atlantic species and intending to restore the older of the Linnean names.

Cardiosoma quadratum Saussure
Curdisomx qualrutc Saussure, op cit., p. 22, pl. 2, fig. 13, 1858.
Cerlisona dimenn Gill, Amnls Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 42, January, 1859. [Wrongly printed 1858 on the third signature.]

A number of specimens were collected at Permambuco.
It is at once distinguished from the $C$. Guanhumi by the more quadrate form of the carapax, the branchial regions being much less swollen, by the lateral margin being marked by a distinct carina instead of evenly rounded, and by the sharply triangular and spiny merus of the chelipeds. Some of the specimens collected by Prof. Hartt are nearly as large as ordinary specimens of $C$. Guanhumi and still retain the distinctive characters, so that it seems scarcely possible that it ean be the young of that species as suggested by Saussure.

This species is in fact more nearly allied to the C. carnifex than to C. Guanhemi, and it resembles so closely a species in the eollection of the Peahody Aeademy of Science from the west coast of Africa -apparently the $C$. cimatum of IIerklots,-that it might readily be mistaken for it. The African species difters however in having the carapax less convex and the carina of the lateral margin less prominent; the front is hroad and high, the anterior lobes of the gastric region are protuberant and the depressed space between them and the frontal margin is coarsely granulous, while in the quadratum the anterior gastric lobes are not protuberant and the depressed space between them and the frontal margin is searcely granulous. The epistome and the nasal lobe are quite different in the two species; in the quadrutum the spistome is nearly straight and its anterior margin is not granulated, the nasal lobe is high, forming rather more than a semicircle, and the lobes of the front on each side of it do not reach down to the anterior margin of the epistome, while in the African species the epistome is higher, more curved and the anterior margin granulated in the middle, and the nasal lobe is much lower, so that the lobes of the front on each side of it reach quite down to the anterior margin of the epistome. Finally the chelipeds and ambulatory legs in the African species are more spiny and granulous.

Specimens of C. quadratzm give the following measurements:-

|  | Male. | Male. | Female. | Female. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Length of carapar, | $42 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $45 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $43 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $46 \cdot 8 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Breadth of "" | $53 \cdot 4$ | $55 \cdot 8$ | $53 \cdot 3$ | 566 |
| Ratio of length to breadth, | $1: 1 \cdot 25$ | $1: 1 \cdot 22$ | $1: 1 \cdot 23$ | $1: 1 \cdot 21$ |
| Length of merus in right cheliped, | $21 \cdot 7 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $28 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $20 \cdot 8 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $24 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| " " haud " " | " | $29 \cdot 0$ | $51 \cdot 8$ | $30 \cdot 2$ |
| " " merus in left | " | $26 \cdot 8$ | $23 \cdot 2$ | $23 \cdot 4$ |
| " " hand " " | " | $46 \cdot 0$ | $31 \cdot 8$ | $35 \cdot 5$ |

## ANOMOURA.

## Dromidia Antillensis Stimpson.

Dromidia Antillensis Stimpson, Proceedings Acad. Nat. Sci., Philadelphia, 185s, p. 225, 1859; Annals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 71, 1859.
Several specimens of this species were obtained by Prof. Hartt at the Reefs of the Abrolhos. They give the following measurements and ratios:

| Sex. | Length of carapax <br> including frontal teeth. | Breadth of <br> carapax. | Ratio. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male. | 15.5 mm | $15 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $1: 1.01$ |
| " | 18.2 | 18.5 | $1: 1.02$ |
| Female. | 16.0 | 16.0 | $1: 1.00$ |
| " | 18.0 | 18.2 | $1: 1.01$ |

All the specimens have a covering of tough, fleshy sponge, much broader than themselves, held closely upon the carapax.

Stimpson's specimens were from Florida and St. Thomas.

## Petrochirus granulatus Stimpson.

Pagurus granulatus Olivier, Encyclop., tome viii, p. 640 (teste Edwards); Edwards, Observations Zoologiques sur les Pagures, Annales des Sciences naturelles, 2de série, tome vi, p. 275, 1836; Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 225; Dana, United States Exploring Expedition, Crust., p. 453.
Petrochirus granulatus Stimpson, Proceedings Acad. Nat. Sci., Philadelphia, 1858, p. 233, 1859 ; Heller, op. cit., p. 85.
A single speeimen in a Scolymus was collected by Prof. Hartt at the Reefs of the Abrolhos.

## Calcinus sulcatus Stimpson.

Pagurus sulcatus Edwards, Annales des Sciences naturelles, 2 de série, tome vi, p. 279, 1836; Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 230.
Pagurus tibicen White (vuriety), List of Crust, in the British Museum, p. 61.
Calcinus sulcatus Stimpson, Proceedings Acad. Nat. Sci., Philadelphia, 1858, p. 234.
A male of this species was collected at the Reefs of the Abrolhos.
Length of body from front of carapax to tip of abdomen, $23 \cdot 5^{\mathrm{mm}}$; length of left hand, $7 \cdot 6$; brealth of left hand, $4 \cdot 5$.

It is closely allied to C. tibicen Dana and C. obscumes Stimpson, but differs remarkably from both of them in the deep and rugose sulcus on the outer side of the propodus of the left leg of the second ambulatory pair. This sulcus is very marked, extends the whole length of the segment, and is limited on the upper side by a sharp carina. From the obscurus it differs moreover in having the carapax broader in front, and the antero-lateral angle more prominent, and not rounded, as it is
Trans. Connecticet Acad., Vol. II.
August, 1869.
in that species. The larger hand is much narrower and more cylindrical, and the dactyli of the ambulatory legs are not so strongly curved as in C. obscurus.

Clibanarius vittatus Stimpson.
Pagarus vittatus Bose, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 78, pl. 12, fig. 1, 1802 ; Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., ii, p. 237; Gibbes, loc. cit. p. 189.
Clibanarius vittutus Stimpson, Proceedings Acad. Nat. Sci., Philad., 1858, p. 335, 1859; Annals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 84.

Several specimens were collectel at Caravellas, Province of Bahia. They do not differ perceptibly from Florida specimens, except that the hands are perhaps a little less tuberculose.

## Clibanarius sclopetarius Stimpson.

Cancer sclopetarius Herbst, op. cit., Band ii, p. 23, Tab. 23, fig. 3, 1796.
Pagurus sclopetarius Bose, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 76, 1802; Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 229.
Clibanarius sclopetarius Stimpson, Proceedings Acad. Nat. Sci., Philadelphia, 1858, p. 235, 1859 ; Annals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 85.

A single specimen was collected in shoal water at the mouth of the Caravellas River, Province of Bahia.

## Clibanarius Antillensis Stimpson.

Clibanarius Antillensis Stimpson, Proceedings Acad. Nat. Sci., Philadelphia, 1855, p. 235, 1859; Anuals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol. vii, p. 85.
I refer to this species a large number of specimens collected at the Reefs of the Abrolhos.

It is certainly very closely allied to C. Brasiliensis Dana (United States Exploring Expedition, Crust., p. 467, pl. 29, fig. 7), but the opthalmic scales are somewhat larger than represented in Dana's figure, and the right $\log$ of the third pair convex upon the outside. In the alcoholic specimens the ground color of the hands and ambulatory legs is reddish-yellow, instead of olive.

## MACROURA.

## Scyllarus æquinoxialis Fabricius.

Scyllariss cequinoxialis Fabricius, Supplementum Entomologiæ systematicæ, p. 399, 1798; Bosc, op. cit., tome ii, p. 19; Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 285, pl. 24, fig. 6.
A single male specimen collected at Bahia appears to belong to this species.

The carapax is broad, the breadth in front exceeding slightly the length of the lateral margin, evenly convex above, the regions searce-
ly indicated, and covered, as is also the npper side of the abdomen, with small squamiform tubercles of uniform size, and each bearing several small faseicles of short setaceons hairs. The anterior margin, the margin of the orbits, and the lateral margin are armed with mumerous, small, obtusely rounded, tuberenliform teeth.

The antennula extend slightly beyond the tips of the antenna; the basal segments are elothed below with short seta ; the terminal segments of the peduncle are smooth and eylindrical; the immer flagella are nearly as long as the last segment of the peduncle, sparsely ciliate and tapering regularly to a slender point; the outer flagella are stouter, and considerably shorter than the imer. In the antenna, the basis is very short and broad, so that, on the outside, the base of the ischium nearly touches the anterior margin of the carapax; the ischinm is much broader than long, the middle portion rongh and hairy, the outer and anterior margins smooth and naked, and the edges slightly and irregularly toothed, except the process on the inner side which has two strong teeth upon its imere edge and a smaller one on the anterior edge toward the articulation with the merns; the carpal, or last segment, is broader than long, the edge arenate and crenulated, the middle portion above and below roughened with short, stift hairs, but a broad space along the margin smooth.

All the inferior surface of the thorax and the exposed parts of its appendages are rough with short, stiff hairs or setæ. The thoracic legs have a carina upon the posterior edge of the merus and carpus, which is very high and thin on the merus in all except the posterior pair. The dactyli in the first and second pairs are smooth and unarmed, but in the second pair they are longer and much slenderer than in the first ; in the last three pairs they are armed with fascieles of stont horny setre.

The lamellie of the appendages of the second segment of the abdomen are lanceolate, and the inner and outer of about equal size. The appendages of the three suceeeding segments are rudimentary and scarcely project below the edge of the segments. The lamella of the appendages of the pemultimate segment are broadly rounded at the extremities, and the inner ones project beyond the tip of the terminal segment. The terminal segment is broader than long, and the extremity truncate with the angles rounded.

The following description of the colors was taken from the specimen when recently preserved in alcohol, and when, according to Prof. Hartt, the colors were as in life.

General color above reddish-brown; antemnæ lighter, bordered with bright purple, and the teeth of the edge orange-red; antenmula light
reddish; carapax with the frontal and median tubercles, the tubercles of the orbits and of the anterior and lateral margins orange-red; first segment of the abdomen bright orange, the median portion slightly mottled with purplish-red, and with two large circular reddish-purple spots; the sncceeding segments with the smooth anterior portion, orange mottled with purplish-red; terminal segment and the lamelliform appendages of the penultimate segment brownish-yellow, almost white at the extremities. Beneath, dirty yellowish; antenne with the colors of the upper side dimly repeated; legs with slight purple annulations at the articulations.


Panulirus echinatus, sp. nor.
This species is closely allied to $P$. guttatus.
The carapax is armed with numerous stout spines, those on the anterior part of the carapax larger than those behind; the surface between the spines is closely filled with small tubercles, which are beset with short, stiff hairs, and many of the tubercles in front of the cervical suture are tipped with spinules. The cervical suture is marked by a deep depression.

The antennulary segment is armed with two straight and slender spines which project forward and upward, their length twice as great as the distance between their tips. The superior orbital spines are stout and long, and extend slightly beyond the tips of the eyes. On the anterior border below the eye, there are two other spines projecting over the base of the antenne; from the inner of these there is a line of about eleven smaller spines, three of which are in front of the cervical suture, extending to the postero-lateral angle of the carapax; below this line there are no spines on the branchial region. Just behind each of the superior orbital spines there is a stout spine as large as the spines on the anterior margin below the eye; behind these spines, and in front of the cervical suture, there are four smaller spines, thus forming, with the orbital spines, two-subdorsal lines of four spines each, which are succeeded behind the cervical suture, by two
lines of five small spines each. On the median line of the anterior part of the gastrie region there are three small, sharp spines. The remaining spines of the earapax are disposed irregularly.

The peduncle of the antemnula extends slightly beyond the peduncle of the antenna; the basal segments are armed with short setre. The inner flagellum is about as long as the carapax, quite slender and wholly naked; the outer flagellum is shorter, much stouter, and the terminal portion ciliated beneath.

The peduncle of the antenna is a little longer than the breadth of the carapax, and is armed with stont spines, three of which are on the anterior edge of the basis, and another on the inner side, below and near the outer of the three spiniform teeth of the anterior edge of the epistome. The flagellum is about three times as long as the carapax, tapers to a slender point, and is armerl with sharp spines.

The external maxillipeds, when extended, reach nearly to the anterior extremity of the basis of the antenne, and all the segments are thickly clothed on the inside, and the dactylns all round, with stiff hairs; the exognath is rudimentary, about half as long as the dactylus of the endognath, quite slender, and is wholly without a flagellum.

The thoracie legs are smooth and naked, except the dactyli and the onter portion of the under side of the propodi; the meral segments are each armed with two sharp spines, one above and another on the inside at the extremity next the articulation with the carpus. The legs of the first pair are shorter than the others, do not reach quite as far forward as those of the second pair, and the dactyli are stout and thick. Those of the second and third pairs are more slender than the others, especially the pemutimate segments, the dactyli straight nearly to the tips, which are hooked abruptly down. The third pair reach slightly beyond the second. The fourth pair extend only to the middle of the propodi of the third pair; the carpus is armed with a stout and sharp spine on the upper edge of the extremity next the propodus, where there is no spine in the other legs; the dactylus is stout, the basal portion armed beneath with slender spines, which are articulated at the base and movable, and the terminal portion tapering to a slender point and curved evenly downward. The legs of the fifth pair reach to the middle of the propodi of the fourth; the coxa is armed with a long, sharp spine on the posterior side and near the articulation with the basis; the dactylus in the male is similar to that in the fourth pair, but shorter and more curved; in the female the dactylus is somewhat shorter than in the male, and armed on the posterior side of the base with a stout process which closes against a
similar process from the extremity of the propodns, both processes being hairy upon the outside and having horny, spoon-shaped tips.

The abdomen is nearly smooth, and all the segments, except the terminal, are crossed by a narrow and thickly ciliated sulcus, which is interrupted in the middle on the third, fourth and fifth segments. The first segment has a single, short lateral tooth. The remaining segments, except the last, have this tooth spiniform and very large, and a small additional one behind it; the larger tooth is armed, except in the penultimate segment, with one or two small spines or denticles on the anterior edge, near the base. The posterior edge of the penultimate segment above is armed with close set, sharp teeth.

The lamelliform appendages of the sixth segment of the abdomen are of about equal length, broad and truncate at the tips. The lamella of the last segment is slightly narrowed and truncate at the tip, and does not extend beyond the lamellze of the sixth segment. In the male, the lamella of the second to the fifth segment are orate and all of about the same size. In the female, these lamella are very much larger; in the second segment, the imer one is of the same form and nearly of the same size as the onter; in the three following segments the onter lamellee decrease in size successively, and the inner lamellie are each composed of two branches, the outer branch being narrow, triangular, its edges thickened, multi-articulate and clothed with long hairs; the inner branch slender, not tapering, articulated at the base of the outer branch, not jointed like the onter branch, but composed of a single piece, and clothed beneath and at the tip with long hairs.

Two specimens give the following measurements:-


Several specimens were obtained at Pernambuco.
This species appears to be closely allied to the $P$. guttatus of the Wrest Indies, but that species, according to Edwards' description and figure
(Histoire Naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 297, pl. 23, fig. 1 and 2,) has the thoracie legs of the sceond pair longer than those of the third; he also states that the transverse sulci of the abdomen are not interrupted on the first three segments; and moreover, in his figures no spines are indicated upon the bases of the antenne, or upon the coxx of the posterior thoracic legs, and the flagella of the antenne and the antennula are much shorter than in our species.

Heller (op. cit., p. 95 ) and DeHaan (op. cit., p. 159), both state that in the guttatus the spaces between the spines of the carapax are smooth, while in our species they are tuberculose and hairy. Neither Edwards, De IIaan nor Heller mention the sub-cheliform posterior thoracie legs as a character of the female of $P$. guttatus.

Alpheus heterochelis Say.
Alphous hoterochelis Say, Journal Acad. Nat. Sci., Philadelphia, vol. i, p. 243, 1818; Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 356; Gibbes, loc. cit., p. 196.
Alpheus armillatus Edwards?, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 354, 1837.
Alpheus lutarius Saussure, op. cit., p. 45, pl. 3, fig. 24, 1858.
A large number of specimens collected at the Reefs of the Abrolhos agree perfectly with specimens from Florida and Aspinwall.

## Falæmon Jamaicensis Olivier.

Cancer (Astacus) Jamaicensis Herbst, op. cit., Band ii, p. 57, Tab. 27, fig. 2, 1796. Palomon Jamaicensis Olivier, Encyclop., tome viii, (teste Edwards,) ; Desmarest, op. cit., p. 237 ; Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 398, Règne animal de Cuvier, $3^{\text {e édit., pl. } 3 \text {, fig. } 4 \text {; Saussure, op. cit., p. } 49 . ~}$
Of this species there are in the collection two specimens, both males, from Penêdo, Rio Sao Francisco.

In both specimens the rostrum is stout, a little shorter than the antennal scale, and is armed above with twelve, and below with four teeth. The anterior legs are longer than the carapax, and nearly naked, except a few fascicles of hairs on the fingers; the hands are slender, and about half as long as the carpus, which is slightly shorter than the merus. In the smaller specimen the second pair of legs are equal, stont, very long, and thickly beset with small spines; the hands are cylindrical, moch longer than the carapax, and the fingers half as long as the palmary portion of the hand. In the larger specimen the legs of the second pair are quite unequal, the left one being considerably longer and much stouter than the right, and the fingers only a third as long as the palmary portion; the right hand is much as in the other specimen, but considerably smaller in proportion. In both specimens the penultimate segment of the abdomen is broad,
the lamellæ of its appendages are broadly rounded at their extremities, and the outer ones slightly broader, but scarcely longer, than the inner. The terminal segment of the abdomen is stout, its extremity broad, romded, ciliate, and has a small movable spine on each side.

A single, small and somewhat imperfect specimen, also a male, from Caravellas, Province of Bahia, is apparently the young of this species, but presents some differences. The rostrum is armed with fifteen teeth above and three below, and the legs of the second pair are quite short, extending but little beyond the first pair, sparsely spinulose, and the hands quite slender. In other respects it agrees closely with the larger specimens.

The three specimens give the following measurements:-


Palæmon forceps Edwards.
Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 397, 1837 ; Saussure, op. cit., p. 51 ; White, List of Crust. in the British Museum, p. 78.

A large number of specimens of this species was obtained by Prof. Hartt at the month of the Pará.

The larger males agree with Edwards' description. The carapax is gramulous, especially on the sides. The rostrum is stont, nearly straight, extends slightly beyond the antennal scale, and is armed above with nine or ten, and below with five to seven teeth. The antennal and hepatic spines are stout and of about equal size. The legs of the second pair are very long, cylindrical, the inner and the inferior sides of the merns, carpus and the basal half of the hand are armed with abont four longitudinal lines of slender spines, the upper and outer
sides thickly set with short spinules and slightly hairy; the fingers are slender, cylindrical and thickly covered with a woolly pubescence. The lamelliform appendages of the penultimate segment of the abilomen are broadly rounded at their tips, and the outer ones are scarcely longer than the inner. The terminal segment of the abdomen is narrower than in $P$. Jamaicensis, the sides are straight, and the tip has a strong median tooth and a slender spine each side.

The young males are quite similar to the full-grown, but the carapax is nearly smooth, the rostrum somewhat upturned at the extremity, and the legs of the second pair are smaller in proportion, and the spines and spinules less developed.

The females differ remarkably from the males, all the specimens being considerably smaller, and resembling the young males. The carapax is much more gibbous and quite smooth, even in the largest specimens. The rostrum in front of the eyes curves upward considerably, and much more strongly in the small than in the large specimens. The legs of the second pair are quite slender, much shorter than in the male, only slightly spinulose in the large specimens, and almost wholly smooth and naked in the smallest. Of the ten specimens in the collection every one has large masses of eggs under the abdomen.

Five specimens given the following measurements :-

| Length of body from tip of rostrum to extremity of abdomen, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male. } \\ & 142.0 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male. } \\ & 125 \cdot 0^{\mathrm{mm}} \end{aligned}$ | Male. 75.0 mm | Female. $106.0 \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Female. <br> 76.0 mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length of carapax from orbit to $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { middle of posterior margin, } & 36.4 & 33.5 & 19.6 & 27.4 & 18.0\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breadth of carapax. | $23 \cdot 8$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | 11.8 | 18.4 | $11 \cdot 2$ |
| Length ef rostrum from its tip to |  |  |  |  | $20 \cdot 0$ |
| Length of basal scale of antenna, | 26.5 | 23.0 | $15 \cdot 2$ | $19 \cdot 7$ | 14.5 |
| *. first thoracic legs, ". merus in first thoracic | $57 \cdot 0$ | 50.0 | $31 \cdot 0$ | $40 \cdot 0$ | $27 \cdot 4$ |
| legs, | $15 \cdot 2$ | 13.0 | $7 \cdot 6$ | $10 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 4$ |
| Length of carpus, | $19 \cdot 2$ | $17 \cdot 4$ | $10 \cdot 5$ | $13 \cdot 4$ | $9 \cdot 4$ |
| " hand, - | 8.0 | $7 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | 6.0 | $4 \cdot 0$ |
| " second thoracic legs. | $171 \cdot 0-158.0$ | $143 \cdot 0$ | $67 \cdot 0-43 \cdot 0$ | $75 \cdot 0$ | $43 \cdot 0$ |
| " merus in second tho- |  |  |  |  |  |
| racic legs, | $35.0-32 \cdot 4$ | 28.0 | 13.4-9.8 | $15 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 5$ |
| Length of carpus, | $50 \cdot 2$ - 44.0 | $40 \cdot 0$ | 20.0-10.0 | $20 \cdot 2$ | 14.0 |
| hand, | $60 \cdot 2-56 \cdot 0$ | $50 \cdot 0$ | $22 \cdot 6-14.0$ | 22.5 | $10 \cdot 8$ |
| " dactylus, | $28 \cdot 0-25.0$ | 24.0 | 11.0-7.5 | 110 | 5.2 |

Palæmon ensiculus, sp. nov.

## Plate I, figure 2.

The carapax is somewhat gibbous, and the antennal and hepatic spines are slender, sharp and of abont equal size. The rostrom is very long, strongly curved downward for the basal half of its length, the terminal half very slender, nearly straight, but strong! y inclined npwards; it is armed above with nine to twelve short teeth, which are ciliated along their edges, and of which seven or eight are on the basal portion, and the others near the tip, and below with eight to twelve tecth.

The eyes are large and the peduncles rather long and slender. The flagella of the antemmula are very long, the onter flagellum abont as long as the whole body and the inner a little shorter. The perluncle of the antenna is armed with a small spine on the outside just below the articulation of the basal scale; the basal scale is long but not reaching, by considerable, the tip of the rostrum, the extremity evenly rounded and extending considerably forward of the small, acutely pointel tooth at the anterior extremity of the outer margin; the flagellum is very long, considerably exceeding in length the flegella of the antennula. The external maxillipeds are slender, reaching slightly beyond the base of the flagella of the antenne.

The first pair of thoracic legs are very slender, reaching slightly beyond the basal scales of the antenne, smooth and naked, except a few fascicles of hairs on the hands. The second pair of legs in the male are very long and quite slender, in full-grown specimens the merus reaching beyond the tip of the antennal scale and all the segments to the hase of the fingers closely beset with short spinnles; the hands are cylindrical, not swollen, the fingers slender and sparsely clothed with short, downy pubescence. In the females and young the second pair of legs are considerably smaller and much less spinulose. The third pair of legs reach to the tips of the basal scales of the antenme. The fourth and fifth pairs are successively a little longer.

The abdomen is rather slender. The penultimate segment is long and narrow, the length above being nearly or quite twice as great as the breadth; the lamelliform appendages are rather narrow, the imer ones rather acutely rounded at the tips and reaching a little beyond the terminal segment of the abdomen, the outer ones evenly romeded at the tips and considerably longer than the inner ones. The terminal segment is narrow and tapers regularly to a very slender and acute point.

Several specinens give the following measurements:-


A large number of specimens of this fine species were obtained by Prof. Hartt at Pará.

## Peneus Brasiliensis Latreille.

Peneus Brasiliensis Latreille, Nouveau Dictionnarie d'Histoire naturclle, tome xxv, p. 154 (teste Edward); Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 414; White, List of Crust. in the British Musemm, p. E0; Gibbes, loc. cit., p. 198.

I refer to this species a large number of small specimens obtained by Prof. Hartt at Bahia. They agree perfectly with a specimen from the west coast of Florida, which is undoubtedly the same as the species described by Gibbes from South Carolina.

## Xiphopeneus, gen. nor.

The carapax is much as in Peneus, but the rostrum is very long, its extremity very slender, and the gastro-hepatic suleus is scarcely perceptible, while the cervieal and branchio-cardiac sulci are distinct. The antennula are long and slender, and the peduncle has only a very small lamelliform appendage on the inside, which is not foliaceons and expanded over the eye as in Pencus; the flagella are very long and slender, the upper ones being much stonter and longer than the lower. The antenna, maxillipeds and the three anterior pairs of thoracic legs are nearly as in Peneus. The fourth and fifth pairs of thoracic legs are very long, and the terminal segments very slender and flagelliform. The abdomen is quite similar to Peneus, but the lamellæ of the appendages of the first five segments are much longer than is usual in that genus.

This genus has much the aspect of Peneus, and is closely allied to it in the antenne, maxillipeds, anterior thoracic legs and abrlomen, but differs from it remarkably in the carapax, antennula and posterior thoracic legs.

Xiphopeneus Harttii, sp. nov.

## Plate I, figure 1.

The carapax is not at all swollen; a very slight, rounded dorsal carina extends from the base of the rostrum to the posterior border ; the cervical and branchio-cardiac sulei are very distinct, and together form a nearly straight groore from near the base of the antemmalmost to the posterior border; the inferior margin of the carapax is nearly straight, projecting slightly along the branchial region; the antennal spine is prominent and rather stont, and the hepatic spine slender and acute. The rostrum is very long and slender, in length nearly equalling or considerably exceeding the carapax, wholly unarmed below, but the basal jortion armed above with a thin and high carina, which extends back upon the carapax a short distance, and forward as far as the eyes, and is armed with five sharp and prominent teeth, and at its posterior extremity with another tooth which is smaller, much below the level of the others, and separated from them by a considerable space; the portion in front of the eyes is nearly straight or a little upturned, sub-cylindrical, slightly flattened laterally, unarmed, perfectly smooth and tapers to a very slender point far in front of the antemal scales.

The eyes are of moderate size, and the pedmeles much shorter than in most species of Peneus.

The appendages upon the inside of the peduncle of the antennula are surmounted by a tuft of hairs which fills a little depression in the ocular pedracle. The first antemnulary segment in advance of the eye is sub-cylindrical, flattened on the mider side, and nearly as long as the peduncle of the eye; the next anterior segment is cylindrical and one-half as long as the last. The upper flagellum of the autenuula is slender, ahout three times as long as the carapax, and has a short portion at the base slightly thicker than the rest; the lower flagellum is very slender and about half as long as the upper.

The hasis of the antenna is armed with a small, sharp spine just below the articulation of the antemal scale. The antenmal scale reaches to the base of the flagella of the antennula, is much narrowed toward the tip, the onter margin is straight and armed with a sharp tooth at the anterior extremity, and the inner margin is nearly straight and
thickly ciliated. The three anterior segments of the peduncle are cylindrical, and the last (carpal) is much longer than in most species of Peneus, so that it reaches to the middle of the antemal scale. The flagellnm is very mnch longer than the whole length of the body.

The second pair of maxillipeds, when extended, reach nearly to the base of the antemnal scale; the merus is nearly three times as long as broad, and thickly hairy on the inner edge; the exognath is very slender, clothed along the edges with long cilia, and scarcely reaches the tip of the extended dactylus. The external maxillipeds reach slightly beyond the middle of the antennal scale and are thickly setose along the inner edges; the exognath is slender, extends slightly beyond the merns of the endognath, and is ciliated as in the maxillipeds of the second pair.

The thoracic legs of the first pair reach about to the middle of the propodus of the external maxillipeds, are slender and beset with stiff hairs along the edges, and the basis is armed with a short spine on the inner side near the articulation with the ischium. The second and third pairs of legs are snccessively a little longer, perfectly smooth, and the basal segments unarmed. The legs of the fourth and fifth pairs are smooth and unarmed, and all the segments, except the coxal and basal, are very slender and very much prolonged, the terminal segments being fully as slender as the terminal portions of the flagella of the antemule.

The abdomen is compressed, and upon the fourth, fifth and sixth segments there is a dorsal carina which is high and sharp upon the sixth, and terminates posteriorly in a slight tooth upon the fifth and sixth. The terminal portion of the appendages of the first segment is long, slender and ciliated along the edges; in the appendages of the four succeeding segments the outer of the terminal branches are like the terminal portion of the appendages of the first segment, and of abont the same length, while the imner branches are but half as long. The penultimate segment is strongly compressed, and its lamelliform appendages are rather long and narrow, the inner ones projecting considerably beyond the terminal segment, ciliated along both edges and narrowly triangular at tip, the outer ones ciliated along the inmer edges and rounded at the tip. The terminal segment tapers regularly to a very slender and acute point, the edges of the terminal half are ciliated, and there is a deep median groove upon the dorsal surface.

In the male, the appendages of the first abdominal segment (plate I, fig. $1^{a}$ ), are connected together near their bases by a peculiar sexual
organ which depends between them, and consists of a central tubular portion articulated with the bases of the abdominal appendages by a short process on each side and furnished at the lower extremity with two stiff, horn-like, tubular processes. The central portion is open on the posterior side for its whole length, and the membrane of which it is composed is folded into deep longitudinal grooves, except on the anterior side which is smooth and flattened, and traversed longitudinally loy a median suture. The horn-like, terminal processes curve slightly hackward and downward, and have an opening on the lower side at the tips. The imner of the terminal branches of the appendages of the second abdominal segment are furnished at the base on the anterior side with a small, oroid, flattened, cushion-like organ which is wanting in the appendages of the other abdominal segments, and in all of those of the female.

Three specimens give the following measurements:-


Several specimens of this remarkable species-all of them somewhat broken and in rather bad condition-were obtained by Prof. Martt at Caravellas, Province of Bahia.

## SQUILLOIDEA.

Gonodactylus chiragra Latreille (?).
Squilla chiragra Fabricius, Supplementum Entomol. systematicæ (teste Edwards).
Gonodactylus chiragrus Latreille, Encyclopédie méthodique, tome x, p. 4i3, plate 325, fig. 2 (teste Edwards); Edwards, Histoire naturelle des Crust., tome ii, p. 528, Gibbes, loc. cit., p. 201.
A species of Gonodactylus was collected by Prof. Hartt at the Reefs of the Abrolhos and at Caravellas, Province of Bahia, which does not differ from the common West Indian and Florida species. The American species is, however, very likely distinct from the true G. chiragra of the old world.

In the foregoing list 32 species are mentioned, of which 21 appear to be new to the fama of Brazil; and of these 21 species, 6 are described as new to science, and the remaining 15 are all species previously known from the West Indies or Florida.

In order to give a better idea of the crustacean fauna of the whole Brazilian coast, I append the following list.

## List of the described species of Brazilian Podopthalmia.

Previous to Milne Edwards' general work,* scarcely anything was known of the crustacea of South America, and even in this work Edwards records Brazil as the habitat of very few species. Some additional species, however, are recorded in his later papers on the Ocypodoidea, $\dagger$ and Alphonse Milne Edwards has added a single species in his monograph of the Portunids. $\ddagger$ A few other species are mentioned in short papers by Bell, $\S$ Weigman, $\|$ and Bate, ${ }^{\text {al }}$ and quite a

[^1]number of species are indicated by White in the list of Crustacea in the British Museum,* but unfortunately descriptions of many of the new species have not jet appeared. But by far the largest accessions to our knowledge of the crustacea of this coast were made by Prof. Dana in his work on the Crustacea of the United States Exploring Expedition. $\dagger$ Although the expedition touched on the Brazilian coast only at Rio de Janeiro, over forty species of Podophthalmia alone were collected and described. More recently Heller has enumerated the species taken by the naturalists accompanying the Anstrian Expedition round the world during the years 1857-1859. $\ddagger$ Uufortunately, however, this expedition also tonched only at Rio de Janeiro, and consequently but few species were obtained which were not observed by Dana.

From the works of these authors, Prof. Harrt's collection, and a few species in the collection of the Peabody Academy of Science, the following list has been compiled.

A few species, of which the localities are questionalite or suspected are preceded by a mark of doubt, thus (?), but all queries which are not inclosed in parenthesis are quoted directly from the anthor whose name they precede. When I have personally examined specimens from the localities mentioned, they are followed by an!. In all other cases the authority on which it is inserted follows the locality.

## BRACHYURA.

MAIOIDEA.

## Mailde.

## Libinia spinosa Edwards.

"Les côtes du Brésel" (Edwards, Hist. nat. des Crust., tome i, p. 301).
Libidoclea Brasiliensis Heller.
Rio de Janeiro (Heller, op. cit., p. 1).

## Mithracide.

Mithrax hispidus Edwards.
Abrolhos! (Hart). - Antilles (Edwards). Tortugas, Key Biscayne (Stimpson). South Carolina (Gibbes).
Mithraculus coronatus Stimpson.
Abrolhos! (Hartt).-Aspinwall! (F. H. Bradley). Tortugas (Stimpson).

[^2]
## Eurypodide.

## (?) Eurypodins Lutreillii Guérin.

Rio de Janeiro (Bell, Transactions Zoölogical Society, London, vol. i1, p. 40).Chili (Edwards and Lucas, Bell, White, Dana).--" Les îles Malouines " (Edwards, Hist. nat. des Crust., tome i, p. 284).
There is probably some confusion of localities here. Bell alone mentions the species as coming from Brazil, and as he had it also from Chili, some interchange of specimens may have taken place. The Chilian species is very likely distinct from the East Indian one.

## Periceride.

## Milnia bicornuta Stimpson.

Abrolhos! (Hartt).-Aspinwall! (F. H. Bradley). Antilles (Edwards, Saussure). Jamaica (White). Florida Keys! (E. B. Hunt). Bermudas I (J. M. Jones).
Peltinia scutiformis Dana.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

## Acanthonyx Peticerii Edwards.

"Coast of Brazil" (Bell).-Antilles (Edwards).-(?) Valparaiso (Dana). (?) Gala. pagos Islands (Bell).
Epialtus Brasiliensis Dana.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

## Epirltus marginatus Bell.

"Ad oras Brasiliee" (Bell, Proceedings Zoöl. Soc., London, part iii, 1835, and Transactions Zoöl. Soc., London, vol. ii, p. 62).--"Ad Insulas Galapagos " (Bell, Transactions Zoöl. Soc., loc. cit.).
The specimens from the two coasts are probably distinct species, and if so the name marginatus should be retained for the Brazilian one, as in the first description Bell mentions only the Brazilian specimen. There is sume confusion in regard to the locality from which the west coast specimen came, the habitats being, given as quoted above, but in the remarks following the description in the Transactions, it is stated that the male specimen cane from Valparaiso, where it was found in company with E. dentatus by Mr. Cuming.
Incippa levis Dana.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

## CANCROIDEA.

## Xantilide.

Fantho parrula Edwards.
Brazil (Edwards).-Intilles (Edwards). Cape de Verdes (Stimpson).
T Tentho dispar Dana.
Rio de Janeiro? (Dana).
Nantho denticulata White.
Abrolhos! (Hartt).-West Indies (White). AspinwallI (F. H. Bradley). Bermudas! (J. M. Jones).

Trans. Connecticut Acad., Vol. II.
August. 1869.

## (?) Menippe Rumphii DeHaan.

Rio de Janeiro? (Dana). Pernambuco (White).-Jamaica (White).-East Indies (Herbst, Edwards, ete.).
The Ameriean species is probably distinct from the true Rumphii of the East Indies.

## Panopeus politus Smith.

Abrolhos! (Hartt).

## Panopeus Marttii Smith.

Abrolhos! (Hartt).

## Panopens Herbstii Edwards.

Rio de Janeiro (Heller, op. cit., p. 16) - Aspinwall! East and west coast of Florida! Bahamas! South Carolina!

## Chlorodius Floridanus Gibbes.

Abrolhos! (Hartt) -Key West! (Gibbes). Aspinwall! (F. H. Bradley).
Pilummus Quoyi Edwards.
Rio de Janciro (Edwards).

## Eriphide.

Eriphia gonagra Edwards.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana, Heller). Abrolhos! (Hartt).*-Aspinwall! (F. H. Bradley). Tortugas (Stimpson). Florida Keys! (E. B. Hunt). Bahamas! (Coll. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist.). -(?) Panama (Stimpsou).

## Portuvida.

## Callinectes ornatus Ordway.

Caravellas! (Hartt). $\dagger$ Cumana; Hayti; Tortugas; Bahamas; South Carolina (Ordway). Bermudis! (.I. M. Jones).

## Callinectes larvatus Ordway.

Bahia! (Hartt).-Hayti; Turtugas; Key West; Balıamas (Ordway).
Callinectes Danre Smith.
Pernambuco! (Hartt). Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

## Acheloüs spinimanus DeHaan.

Rio de Janeiro (Dana, Heller). Bahia! (Hrrtt). $\ddagger$-South Carolina (Stimpson, A. Edwards). West Florilit! (E. Jewett). Martinique (A. Edwards).
Acheloüs Orchoayi Stimpson.
Bahia! (Hartt).-St. Thomas; Tortugas; Bay Biscayne (Stimpson).
Acheloüs Sebre. ( Neptumus Soba A. Edwards).
"Les côtes du Brésil" (1. Edwards). Martinique (A. Edwards).
Cronius mber Stimpsom.
Brazil (Edwards, White, A. Edwards). Lio re Janeiro (Heller).-St. Thomas (Stimpson). Gulf of Mexico; Vera Cruz (A. Edwards). Key West (Griblies).—Panama (Stimpson).

[^3]
## Arencus cibrarius Dana.

Rio de Janeiro (Dana). Guadaloupe; Gulf of Mexie'; Vera Cruz (A. Edwards). Key West; South Carolina (Gibbes). New Jersey (Leidy).

## Platyonychide.

(?) Cirecinus Menus Leach.
Rio de Janeiro (Heller, op. cit., p. 30) -European coast.

OCY PODOIDEA. Goxotlacine.
Eucratopsis crussimanus. (Eucrete crassimanus Dana).*
Rio de Janeiro? (Dana).

## Ocypodide.

Gelasimus maracoani Latreille.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana). Pernambueo (White). Porto Seguro; St. Cruz (Hartt).Cayenne (Edwards). West Indies (White).
Gelasimus pulustris Edwards. (G.vocuns Dana).
Rio de Janeiro (Dana, Stimpson).-Aspinwall; Hayti; Texas; South Carolina; Old Point Comfort (Stimpson).
Gelasimus mordax, sp. nov.
Parí! (Caleb Cooke, Coll. Peabody Acad. Sci.).
(?) Gelusimus stenoductylus Lucas.
"Brésil" (Edwards, Annales des Sci. nat., 3me série, tome xviii, 1852, p. 149).Chili (Lucas, Edwards).

Ocypodu rhombea Fabricius.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana, Heller).- Jamaica (White).

## Gecarcinide.

Gecarcinus sp. White (List of Crust. in British Museum, p. 32). Pernambuco (White).

[^4]Pelocareinus Lalandei Edwards. (Gecarcoidea Lalandei Edwards). Brazil (Edwards).
Cardiosoma Gucunhumi* Latreille.
Brazil (Whrte).—Antilles (Edwards, Saussure). Florida Keys! (Gibbes). Cape de Verdes (Stimpson).

## Carliosoma quadratum Saussure.

Pernambuco! (Hartt). $\dagger$-Aspinwall! (F. H. Bradley). Hayti (Saussure). Barbadoes; St. Thomas (Gill).

## Uea corlata.

Bahia! (Hartt). Pará! (Coll. Peabody Acad. Sci.).-Surimam (Linné).
(?) Uca unu Latreille, Edwards. $\ddagger$
"Amérique méridionale" (Edwards). Rio de Janeiro (Vou Martens, Zoöl. Record, vol. iv, 1867, p. 613).

Trichodactylide.
Trichodactylus quadiatus Edwaris. (T. fluviatilis Latreille?).
Brazil (Edwards). Rio de Janeiro (Heller).
(?) Trichodactylus punctatus Eydoux et Sonleyet?, Dana.
Rio de J.neiro (Dana).
Trichoductylus (?) Cumninghamu. (Uea Cumninghami Bate).§ Tijuca, Province of Rio de Janeiro (Bäte).
Sylviocarcinus Devillei Edwards (Archives du Muséum d'Hist. nat., tome viii, p. 176).
"Dans la rivière de l'Araguya, à Salinas, province de Goyas" (Edwarßs).
Dilocarcinus cmarginatus Edwards (Archives du Musénm d'IIist, nat., tome viii, 1. 181).
"Loretto, sur la Haute-Amazone" (Edwards).
Dilocarcinus pictus Edwards (Archives du Musém d’Hist. nat., tome viii, p. 181).
". Loretto (Hante-Amazone)" (Edwards).
Dilocarcinus Castelnaui Edwards (Archives du Muséum d'Mist. nat. tome viii, p. 182).
"Salinas (province de Goyaz)" (Edwards).

* I'rof. Hartt informs me that this species, which lives in the mangrove swamps, is called Guaycomi, and that it is mentioned under that name by Fonséca, so the specific name Guanhumi is probably a mistake for Guayamú.
$\dagger$ Take॥ in swamps.--c. F. н.
$\ddagger$ According to Prof. Hartt a species of Uca is still called in Brazil $\nabla_{c ̧ a-} a$ éna. A tracing of the original figure of Marcgrave, however, indicates that his Vra-ína was not the Uca una of Latreille and Edwards, but more likely the $U$. cordatu.
§ Annals and Mag. Nat. Hist., 4th series, vol. i, June, 1868, p. 447, pl. 21, fig. 3.


## Grapside.

Goniopsis cruentetus DeHaan.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana, Heller). Abrolhos! (Hartt).*-Surinam (Randall). Cuba (Saussure). Florida Keys! (Coll. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist.).
Pachygrapsus simplex Stimpson. (Goniograpsus simplex Dana).
Rio de Janeiro? (Dana).-Madeira (Stimpson).
Pachygrapsus intermedius Heller (o1. cit., p. 44).
Rio de Janeiro (Heller).
(?) Puchygrapsus innotatus Stimpson (Goniograpsus imotatus Dana). "Locality uncertain; probably from the South American coast" (Dana).-Madeira (Stimpson).
If Dana's specimens came from South America, as supposed, they were undoubtedly from Brazil. since Stimpson's discovery of it at Madeira shows it to be an Atlantic spacies and the Wilkes Exploring Expedition touched, on the east coast of South America, only at Rio de Janeiro and on the coast of Patagonia.
Pachygrapsus rugulosits. (Leptograpsus rugulosus Edwards).
" Brésil " (Edwards).
This species is very likely the same as $P$. innotatus, which. according to Stimpson, is scaresly to be distinguished from P. transversus Gibbes. Edwards' description, three lines in length. is, however, too imperfect to determine anything in regard to the affinity of the species.
Puchygrapsus maurus Heller (Lucas).
Rio de Janeiro (Heller). - Mediterrancan (Lucas, Edwards, Heller).
(?) Puchygrapsus marmoratus Stimpson. (Goniograpsus varius Dana ?).
Rio de Janeiro? (Dana).-Madeira (Stimpson. Heller). Gibraltar (Heller). Mediterranean (Edwards, Heller).
Cryptograpsus cirripes Smith.
Rio de Janeiro! (Coll. Peabody Acad. Sci.).
Nautilograpsus sp. (" Planes --" White).
Brazil (Wh te, List of Crust. in British Museum, p. 42).
Cyclorgrapsus integer Edwards.
Brazil (Edwards).-Florida (Stimpson).
Helice gramulata Meller (op. cit., p. 61). (Chasmagnathus gramelatus Dana).
Rio de Janeiro (Dana, Heller). Rio Grande! (Capt. Harrington, Peabody Acad. Sci.).
(?) Sesarma angustipes Dana.
South America (Dana).-Aspinwall; on the east coast of Central America, neae Graytown ; Florida (Stimpsou).
Since this has proved to be an east coast and tropical species, there can be littl doubt that Dana's specimens were from Rio de Janeiro.

[^5]Aratus Pisonii Edwards. (Sesarma Pisomii Edwards).
Rio de Janeiro (Heller).—Antilles (Edward.). Jamaica (White). Florida (Gibbes, Stimpson).

## CALAPPOIDEA.

Hepatide.
Hepatus angustatus White. (II. fuscintus Latreille, Edwards).
Rio de Janeiro (Dana, Heller).-Aspiuwall (Stimpson).

## ANOMOURA.

## Dromide.

Dromidia Antillensis Stimpson.
Abrolhos! (Hart).-St. Thomas! ; Tortugas; Key Biscayne (Stimpson).

## Poricellanide.

Petrolisthes leporinus. (Porcellana leporina Heller).
Rio de Taneiro (Heller).
The figure and description giren by Heller would scarcely distinguish this species from the $I^{\prime}$. armatus Stimpson (Gibbes sp.).

Petrolisthes Brasiliensis, sp. nov. (Porcellana Boscii? Dana, p. 421, pl. 26, fig. 11, non Savigny, Crust. Egylt, pl. 7, fig. 2).
Rio de Jaueiro (Dana).
Puchycheles moniliferus Stimıson (Dana).
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

## Porcellana frontalis Heller.

Rio de Janeiro (Heller).
Minyacerus angustus Stimpson (Dana).
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

## Hippide.

Hippa emerita Fabricius.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana, Heller).

## Cenobitide.

Cenobita Diogenes Latreille.
Brazil (White, List of Crust. in British Museum, p. 61)-

## Paguride.

## Petrochirus granulatus Stimpson (Olivier).

Rio de Jazeiro (Dana, Heller). Abrolhos! (Hartt)-Antilles (Edwards) Key West (Gibbes). West coast of Florida! (E. Jewett).

## Calcinus sulcutus Stimpson (Edwards).

Abrolhos! (Hartt).-Antilles (Edwards).
White reports C. tilicen Dana from Brazil and the West Indies, but as he included C. sulcatus as a synonym, his specimens were perhaps all of this species.

Clibanarius Brasiliensis Dana.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

## Clibanerius Autillensis Stimpson.

- Abrolhos! (Hartt).--Barbadoes (Stimpson).

Clibanterius vittetus Stimpson (Bose).
Abrolhos! (Hartt).—Key West; Charleston (Gibbes). West coast of Florida! (E. Jewett).
Clibanmites selopetarius Stimpson (Herbst).
Caravellas River, in the Province of Bahia! (Ifartt).-Trinidad (Stimpson). Aspinwall! (F. H. Bradley, Stimpson). Tortugas (Stimpson).
Eupagurus criniticornis Stimpson (Dana).
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).
(?) Eupagurus scabriculus Stimpson (Dana).
Brazil ? (Dana).
(?) Galateide.
Under the name of Galathea amplectens, Fabricins, in his supplemeutum Entomologie systematicae, p. 415 (teste Ellwards), has described a crustacean from Brazil which seems to be unkuown to subsequent writers. It is probably not a true Gulatheu.

## MACROURA.

## Scyllaride.

Scyllurus aquinoxialis Fabricius.
Brazil (White). Bahia! (Hartt).*-Antilles (Edwards). Key West (Gibbes).

## Palinuride.

Pemulirus argus White. (Palinurus argus Latreille, Edwards).
Bahia (White).-Antilles (Edwards, White).
Pamulirus echinatus Smith.
Pará! (Hartt) $\dagger$
Palamonide.

## Alphers heterochelis Say.

Abrolhos! (IIartt).-Aspinwall! (F. H. Bradley.) Cuba (Saussure). Key West (Gibbes). West coast of Florida! (E. Jewett). South Carolina (Gibbes. Say).
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## Alpheus tridentulatus Dana.

Rio de Janeiro? (Dana).

## Alpheus malleator Dana.

Rio de Janciro? (Dana).
Hippolyte exilirostratus Dana. Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

Hippolyte obliquimanus Dana. Rio de Janeiro (Dana).
Palcemon Tamaicensis Edwards.
Penêdo, Rio Sao Francisco! (Hartt).* Pernambuco (White).-Antilles (Edwards). Antilles and Gulf of Mexico (Sanssure).
Palcemon spinimanus Elwards.
Brazil (Edwards, White).-Antilles (Edwards). Cuba (Gibbes).
Palcemon Olfersii Weigman (Archiv für Naturges. 1836, p. 150). "An der Küste Braziliens" (Wiegman).
Palcemon forceps Edwards.
Pernambuco (White). Rio de Janeiro (Fdwards). Mouth of the Parí! (Hartt).Antilles, Gulf of Mexico (Saussure).

Palcemon acanthurus Wiegman (loc. cit., p. 150).
"Das Vaterland ist die Küste Braziliens " (Wiegman).
Palcemon ensiculus Smith.
Para! (Hartt).
(?) "Palcemon Lamarrei Edwards?" (White).
Pernambuco (White).-Côtes du Bengale (Edwards).

## Peneide.

Sicyonia carinata Edwards.
Rio de Janeiro (Edwards, Dana).
Peneus Brasiliensis Latreille.
Brazil (Latreille, White). Bahia! (Hartt).-West coast of Florida! (E. Jewett). South Carolina (Gibbes).
Peneus setiferus Edwards.
Rio de Janeiro (Heller).-Florida (Edwards). South Carolina (Gibbes).
Tiphopeneus IIarttii Smith.
Caravellas, Previuce of Bahia! (Hartt).
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## SQUILLOIDEA.

Squillide.
Lysiosquille inornata Dana.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).
Squilla mbro-lineata Dana.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).
Squilla prasino-lineata Dana.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).
Squilla scabricoudu Latreille.
Brazil (White).
Gonodactylus chiragra Latreille. (?)
Abrolhos! (Hartt). Caravellas, Province of Bahia! (Hartt)-- $\Lambda$ spinwall! (F. H. Bradley). Florida Keys! (Gibbes). Bermudas! (J. M. Jones).-Mediterranean Red Sea; Pacific Ocean (Authors).

## Ericiitinide.

## Erichthus vestitus Dana.

South Atlantic, lat. $25^{\circ}$ south, long. $44^{\circ}$ west (Dana).
Erichthus spiniger Dana.
South Atlantic, between Rio Janeiro and Rio Negro (Dana.)

## MYSIDEA.

Myside.
Macromysis gracilis Dana.
Rio de Janeiro (Dana).
Rachitia spimulis Dana.
Allantic, off the harbor of Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

## Luciferine.

Lucifer acicularis Dana.
Harbor of Rio de Janeiro (Dana).

Zoca rubella Dana.
South Atlantic, lat. $24^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ south, long. $44^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ west (Dana
Zoca echimus Dana.
Atlantic, lat $23^{\circ}$ south, long. $41^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ west (Dana).

## EAPLANATION OF PLATE I.

Figure 1.-Yphopeneus IIrttii, male. cephalothorax; a, b, c, d, e, thoracic legs, those of the fourth and fifth pairs incomplete. la, appendages of the first segment of the abdomen in the same specimen. $1 b$, rostrum of a larger, femalc specimen; le mandible enlarged two diameters,

Figure 2.-Pshemon ensiculus, male. carapax; 2a. leg of the second pair; 2b, extremity of abdomen, seen from above; -9 , rostrum of a small female.

Figure 3.-Cryptogrıpsus cirripes, male; 3a, sternum and abdomen of the same specimen.

Figure 4.- $P$ 'a opens politus, female, earapax enlarged two diameters.
Figure 5.-Pinopeus Hirtti, male, caripax enlarged two diameters.
All the figures are natural size, except 1c, 4 and 5 , and all are copied from photographs, except 1 a and 1 c .

## ERRATA.

Page 1, line 1", for "Flordia," read Florida.
" 11, " 35. " "immargination," read emargination.
" 16, " 26, " "spistome," read epistome.
" 31, " 18, " "Podopthalmia," read Podophthalmia.
" 35, " 9, " "Eucrete," read Eucrate.
" 35, last line but one, for " margin," read margins.
" 106 , line 4 , from foot, for "Norton Street," read Blake Street.
" 108 , " 11 , " " "twenty rods," read twenty-one rods.
" 118 , " 11, for "styiferus," read styliferns.
" $1: 38$, " 11 , " "immargination," read emargination.
" $1: 39$, " 11, " "immarginate," read emarginate.
" 1.53 , first line of foot note, for " is marked 3 ," read is marked $: 3$ ".
" 162, above "Euryplax," insert Carcinoplacide.
" 188 , line 8, for "spinosus," read spinosum.
" 197, " 31, " "palpaster," read polpaster.
" $34: 3$, in title of paper, for " 1873, ," read 1872.
" 34.3 , under No. 5, for "varible," read variable.
" 346 , No. 24, line 7. for "Montague," read Montagne.
" 348, No. 44, for "Euteromorpha," read Enteromorpha.





[^0]:    * The figure of the facial region of this species given in the Voyage dans L'A mérique Meridionale (pl. 8, fig. $1^{\text {a }}$ ) improperly represents the external maxillipeds with this angle truncate and not at all produced laterally.

[^1]:    * Histoire naturelle des Crustacés. Paris ; tome i, 1834; ii, 1837 ; iii, 1840.
    $\dagger$ Observations sur la Classification des Crustacés. Annales des Sciences naturelles, $3^{\text {me }}$ série ; De la famille des Ocypodides, tome xviii, 1852, pp. 128-166, pl. 3-4; Suite (1), tome $\mathrm{xx}, 1853$, pp. 163-228, pl, 6-11.-Notes stur quelques Crustacés noureaux ou peu connus. Archives du Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, tome vii, pp. 145-192, pl. 9-16, 1854.
    $\ddagger$ Etudes zoölogiques sur les Crustacés récents de la famile des Portuniens. Archives du Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, tome x, pp. 309-428, pl. 28-38, 1861.
    § Some Account of the Crustacea of the coasts of South America. Transactions Zoölogical Society, London, vol. ii, pp. 39-66, pl. 8-13, 1841, and Proceedings Zoölogical Socicty, 1835, pp. 169-173.
    || Beschreibung einiger neuen Crustaceen des Berliner Muscums aus Mexiko und Brasilien. Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 1836, Band i, pp. 145-151.

    Ti) Carcinological Gleanings, No. I1I. Annals and Magazine of Natural History, 4th serics, vol. i, June, 1868, p. 447.

[^2]:    * List of the specimens of Crnstacea in the collection of the British Museum. London. 1847.
    + United States Exploring Expedition, during the years 1838-42, under command of Charles Wilkes, U. S. N., vol xii. Crustacea. Philadelphia, 1852. Plates, 1855.
    $\ddagger$ Reise der österreichischen Fregatte Novara um die Erde. Zoöl. Theil, zweiter Band, dritte Abtheilung, Crustaceen. Wien, 1865.

[^3]:    * This species was collecter from the whole coast. It is very lively, ruming over the rocks and hiding in holes at low water.-C. F. H.
    $\dagger$ Taken in nets in shallow water on the borders of the bay.-c. F. H.
    $\ddagger$ Taken in shallow water and sold in the market for food.-c. f. n.

[^4]:    * Stimpson, from an examination of alcoholic specimens of Eucrate crenatus De Haan, has shown (Boston Journal Nat Hist., vol. vii, p. 588, 1863) that De Haan's genus Eucrate is distinct from the Eucrate as described by Dana, De Haan's genus having the male organs, or verges, arising fr m the coxæ of the posterior legs, and therefore belonging to the Carcinoplacicue of Edwards, while Dana's species has sternal verges, and must therefore form the type of a new genus, for which I propose the name Eucratopsis. The genus thus constituted appears to be nearest allied to Speocrrcinus Stimpson (Annals Lyc. Nat. Hist., New York, vol vii, p. 59, 1859), from which it is distinguished by the larger orbits, by the approximation of the inner margin of the maxillipeds, and by the much greater narrowness of the posterior part of the sternum.

[^5]:    * Found running about over the rucks at low tide on the fringing reef. It did not appear to be common.-C. F. H.

[^6]:    * Taken in shallow water on the borders of the bay and used for food.-C. f. il.
    $\dagger$ Used for food and sold in the market. I have seen it from much farther sonth.c. F. H.

[^7]:    * This species, called pití, is quite common in the river Sao Francisco and the larger streams flowing into it.-C. F. H.

